



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F          | ILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/986,319      | 11/08/2001 |                             | Timothy J. Simms     | 16222.004           | 5579             |  |
| 23117           | 7590       | 06/22/2006                  |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| NIXON &         |            | RHYE, PC<br>ROAD, 11TH FLOO | ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH   |                     |                  |  |
| ARLINGTO        |            |                             | K                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                 |            |                             |                      | 2131                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)      |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/986,319        | SIMMS, TIMOTHY J. |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit          |  |  |
| Kaveh Abrishamkar | 2131              |  |  |

|  | Kaveh Abrishamkar  | 2131   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d  | orrespondence add  | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).   | g date of the final rejecti<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS F                       | on.<br>ILED WITHIN                         |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da  | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi                     | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be   | filed within two month   | ns of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  |  |  | ecause                                     |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |  | TE below);   |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>  | •  | ducing or simplifying  | the issues for                             |
| appeal; and/or   | ter form for appear by materially re   | ducing or simplifying  | 110 133003 101                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   | :  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | ·  | •  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |  | ll be entered and an e   | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | A la de la company de la dela del Cita de A N  | -4:£ A1:II   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affidat   | otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence is               | or be entered<br>s necessary and           |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                           | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacl  | ned.                                       |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | it does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | No(s)  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: See Claim 1, wherein new limitations were added including "identifying said calling party to said called party" and "generating a public-private key pair by said called party".

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100